# Quadratic Stability of a Class of Uncertain Large-Scale Systems with Symmetrically Interconnected Subsystems

YANG Guanghong, LIU Xiaoping and ZHANG Siying (Department of Automatic Control, Northeastern University • Shenyang, 110006, PRC)

Abstract: This paper investigates the quadratic stability problem of a class of uncertain large-scale systems composed of several similar subsystems interconnected with an external system in a symmetrical fashion. A sufficient condition for the quadratic stability of such a system is given in terms of two modified subsystems with lower-order and corresponding H<sub>a</sub>-norms, and it is shown that the condition is also necessary for the quadratic stability of the overbounding system corresponding to the system.

Key words: large-scale systems; stability analysis; model uncertainties

### 1 Introduction

A number of large-scale interconnected systems found in the real world enjoy the particular feature of having similar units and symmetrical interconnections. Systems with these characteristics are encountered in electric power systems, industrial manipulators, computer networks, etc<sup>[1~4]</sup>. Recently, there has been a great interest on large-scale systems with similar subsystems. In [4~6], the stability and decentralized control of the large-scale systems without uncertainty are investigated by utilizing structural properties of these systems, and important characteristics are observed. This paper is concerned with the quadratic stability problem of a class of uncertain large-scale systems with symmetically interconnected subsystems.

## 2 System Description

The uncertain system  $\Sigma$  under consideration consists of N similar subsystems interconnected with an external system, the overall system  $\Sigma$  is described by the composite equations:

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A + \Delta A) x(t). \tag{2.1}$$

where 
$$x(t) = x = [x_0^T \ x_1^T \ \cdots \ x_N^T]^T, x_0 = x_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}_0^n, x_i = x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \ (i = 1, \dots, N),$$

$$A = [A_{ij}], \quad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N). \tag{2.2a}$$

with  $A_{00} = A_0$ ,  $A_{0i} = L_0$ ,  $A_{i0} = M_0$ ,  $A_{ii} = A_1$   $(i = 1, \dots, N)$ , and  $A_{ij} = H_1$   $(i, j = 1, \dots, N)$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

$$\Delta A = [\Delta A_{ij}(t)], \quad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N). \tag{2.2b}$$

with 
$$\Delta A_{00}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_0} A_{0j} q_{0j}(t)$$
,  $\Delta A_{0i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} L_{0j} q_{ij}(t)$ ,  $\Delta A_{i0}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} M_{0j} q_{0ij}(t)$ ,  $\Delta A_{ii}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_3} A_{1j} r_{ij}(t)$ ,  $(i = 1, \dots, N)$ , and  $\Delta A_{ij}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_4} H_{1j} r_{1ij}(t)$ ,  $(i, j = 1, \dots, N; i \neq j)$ .

Suppose that

1) the uncertain parameters  $q_{ij}(t)$ ,  $q_{0ij}(t)$ ,  $r_{ij}(t)$ , and  $r_{sij}(t)$  are Lebesgue measureable functions such that

$$|q_{ij}(t)| \le 1, \quad |q_{sij}(t)| \le 1, \quad |r_{ij}(t)| \le 1, \quad |r_{sij}(t)| \le 1.$$
 (2.3)

2) the matrices  $A_{0j}$ ,  $L_{0j}$ ,  $M_{0j}$ ,  $A_{1j}$ , and  $H_{1j}$  are rank 1, and

$$A_{0j} = a_{1j}a_{2j}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad L_{0j} = a_{3j}a_{4j}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad M_{0j} = a_{5j}a_{6j}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad A_{1j} = a_{7j}a_{8j}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad H_{1j} = h_{1j}h_{2j}^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{2.4}$$

This paper is concerned with the following notion of stability for the system  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 2. 1**<sup>[7]</sup> Consider an uncertain linear system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \left[A^0 + \Delta A^0(q(t))\right]x(t) \tag{2.5}$$

where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the state, q(t) is a vector of uncertain parameters, which is restricted to a prescribed bounding set  $\Omega$  where  $\Omega$  is a compact set. The system (2.5) is said to be quadratically stable if there exists a  $p \times p$  positive definite symmetric matrix P and a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that, for any admissible uncertainty q(t), the Lyapunov derivative for the Lyapunov function  $V(x) = x^T P x$  satisfies

$$L(x,t) = \dot{V} = 2x^{\mathrm{T}} p [A^{\mathrm{o}} + \Delta A^{\mathrm{o}}(q(t))] x \leqslant -\alpha ||x||^{2},$$

for all pairs  $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}$ . In the following suppose that  $\Delta A^0(q(t)) = D^0 F^0(t) E^0$ , where the uncertainty  $F^0(t)$  satisfies  $F^0(t)^T F^0(t) \leq 1$ .

Lemma 2. 2<sup>[8]</sup> The system (2.5) is quadratically stable if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

i)  $A^0$  is a stability matrix;

ii) 
$$||E^{0}(sI - A^{0})^{-1}D^{0}||_{\infty} < 1$$
.

The above result could of course be applied directly to the system  $\Sigma$  of (2.1) by using the notion of overbounding in [9]. But, this involves computing  $H_{\infty}$ -norm of a matrix with high-order, it may be very difficult to do this. The next section will present a simple method of checking the quadratic stability of the system  $\Sigma$ .

The following notations will be used in the sequel.

$$a_1 = [a_{11} \quad \cdots \quad a_{1k_0}], \quad a_2 = [a_{21} \quad \cdots \quad a_{2k_0}],$$
 (2. 6a)

$$a_3 = [a_{31} \quad \cdots \quad a_{3k}], \quad a_4 = [a_{41} \quad \cdots \quad a_{4k}], \tag{2.6b}$$

$$a_5 = [a_{51} \quad \cdots \quad a_{5k_2}], \quad a_6 = [a_{61} \quad \cdots \quad a_{6k_2}],$$
 (2. 6c)

$$a_7 = [a_{71} \quad \cdots \quad a_{7k_3}], \quad a_8 = [a_{81} \quad \cdots \quad a_{8k_3}], \quad (2.6d)$$

$$h_1 = [h_{11} \quad \cdots \quad h_{1k_1}], \quad h_2 = [h_{21} \quad \cdots \quad h_{2k_1}],$$
 (2. 6e)

$$A_m = A_1 + H_1, \quad A_{p0} = A_1 - (N-1)H_1,$$
 (2.7a)

$$A_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{0} & NL_{0} \\ M_{0} & A_{1} - (N-1)H_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.7b}$$

$$D_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & N^{1/2}a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & N^{-1/2}a_{5} & N^{-1/2}a_{7} & \lceil (N-1)/N \rceil^{1/2}h_{1}, \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.7c)

$$D_{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & N^{1/2}a_3 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & N^{-1/2}a_5 & N^{-1/2}a_7 & [(N-1)/N)]^{1/2}h_1, \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.7c)  

$$E_{\rho}^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & N^{1/2}a_6 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & N^{1/2}a_4 & N^{1/2}a_8 & [N(N-1)]^{1/2}h_2, \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.7d)

$$D_m = [a_5 \ a_7 \ (N-1)^{1/2}h_1], \ E_m^{\mathrm{T}} = [a_4 \ a_8 \ (N-1)^{1/2}h_2],$$
 (2.7e)

$$E_m^{\mathrm{T}} = [a_4 \quad a_8 \quad (N-1)^{1/2} h_2]. \tag{2.7f}$$

#### 3 Main Results

**Theorem 3.1** The system  $\Sigma$  of (2.1) is quadratically stable if it satisfies the following conditions:

3. 1 i) A, and A, are stability matrices;

3.1 ii) 
$$\|E_p(sI - A_p)^{-1}D_p\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1$$
 and  $\|E_m(sI - A_m)^{-1}D_m\|_{\infty} < 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2** If the system  $\Sigma$  contains no the external system (i.e.,  $n_0 = 0$ ), then the system  $\Sigma$  of (2.1) is quadratically stable if it satisfies the following conditions:

3. 2 i)  $A_{p0}$  and  $A_{m}$  are stability matrices;

3.2 ii) 
$$||E_m(sI - A_{p0})^{-1}D_m||_{\infty} < 1$$
 and  $||E_m(sI - A_m)^{-1}D_m||_{\infty} < 1$ .

In order to investigate the degree of conservativeness of the above results, consider the uncertain system  $\Sigma_{\mu}$  defined as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A + \Delta \overline{A})x(t), \qquad (3.1)$$

where A is given by (2.2a),

$$\Delta \overline{A} = DF(t)E \tag{3.2}$$

with the uncertainty F(t) satisfying

$$F(t)^{\mathsf{T}}F(t) \leqslant I,\tag{3.3a}$$

$$D = \operatorname{diag}[d_0 \quad d_1 \quad \cdots \quad d_N] \tag{3.3b}$$

$$d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_5 & \underbrace{h_1 & \cdots & h_1}_{i \stackrel{\sim}{=} 1} & a_7 & h_1 & \cdots & h_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, N),$$

$$E^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} e_0 & e_1 & \cdots & e_N \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.3c}$$

$$e_0 = \operatorname{diag}[a_2 \ a_4 \ \cdots \ a_4], \quad e_i = \operatorname{diag}[a_6 \ \underbrace{h_2 \ \cdots \ h_2}_{i-1} \ a_8 \ h_2 \ \cdots \ h_2],$$

By the notion of overbounding in [9], it is easy to see that the system  $\Sigma_u$  overbounds the system  $\Sigma$ . For the quadratic stability of  $\Sigma_n$ , we have

**Theorem 3.3** The system  $\Sigma_u$  of (3.1) is quadratically stable if and only if it satisfies the conditions 3. 1i) and 3. 1ii).

**Theorem 3.4** If the system  $\Sigma$  contains no external system (i. e.,  $n_0 = 0$ ), then the system  $\Sigma_u$  of (3.1) is quadratically stable if and only if it satisfies the conditions 3.2i) and 3.2ii).

Remark 3.5 Since the system  $\Sigma$  of (2.1) is overbounded by the system  $\Sigma_n$  of (3.1), Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are immediate from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4. Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 present sufficient conditions for the quadratic stability of the system  $\Sigma$  in terms of two matrices with lower-order and corresponding  $H_{\infty}$ -norm, which simplifies considerably the stability analysis of the overall system. Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 show that the conditions are also necessary for the quadratic stability of the overbounding system  $\Sigma_n$  corresponding to the system  $\Sigma$ . Comparing with applying directly Lemma 2.2 to the system  $\Sigma$ , no extra conservativeness is introduced by using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. For the degree of conservativeness introduced by overbounding the system  $\Sigma$  with the system  $\Sigma_n$  of (3.1), the reader is referred to reference [9].

The following preliminaries will be used in the proof of Theorem 3. 3.

Lemma 3.5 The system (2.5) is qudaratically stable if and only if one of the following two conditions hold:

3.5i) There exists a positive definite symmetric matrix P, such that

$$(A^{0})^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA^{0} + PD^{0}(D^{0})^{\mathrm{T}}P + (E^{0})^{\mathrm{T}}E^{0} < 0;$$

3.5ii)  $A^{0}$  is a stability matrix, and  $\parallel E^{0}(sI-A^{0})^{-1}D^{0}\parallel_{\infty}<1$ .

**Lemma 3.6** If the system (2.5) is quadratically stable, then there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a uniquely positive definite symmetric matrix P, such that

$$(A^{0})^{\mathrm{T}}P + P(A^{0})^{\mathrm{T}} + PD^{0}(D^{0})^{\mathrm{T}}P + (E^{0})TE^{0} + \varepsilon I = 0.$$

and  $A^0 + D^0(D^0)^T P$  is asymptotically stable.

Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 are consequences of the results in [8], the proofs are omitted. Consider the matrix  $T(n_0, n, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_0+q_n)\times(n_0+q_n)}$  given by

$$T(n_0, n, 1) = \operatorname{diag}[I_{n_0} \ I_n], \ T(n_0, n, q) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & T_3 \end{bmatrix}, \ (q > 1), \ (3.4)$$

where  $T_1 = [-I_n \quad -I_n \quad \cdots \quad -I_n]$ ,  $T_2^{\mathsf{T}} = [I_n \quad I_n \quad \cdots \quad I_n]$ ,  $T_3 = \mathrm{diag}[I_n \quad I_n \quad \cdots \quad I_n]$ , and  $I_k$  denotes a  $k \times k$  identity matrix. Let the matrix  $T \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_0 + N_n) \times (n_0 + N_n)}$  defined as follows:

$$T = T(0)T(1)\cdots T(N-1)$$
 (3.5)

where  $T(i) = \text{diag}[T(n_0, n, N-i) \ I_n \ \cdots \ I_n]$   $(i = 0, 1, \cdots \ N-1), T(n_0, n, N-i)$  is given by (3.4).

By computing directly, we have

**Lemma 3. 7** Let A be given by (2.2a), and  $J = \text{diag}[J_0 \ J_1 \ \cdots \ J_1] \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_0+N_n)\times(n_0+N_n)}$  with  $J_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_0\times n_0}$  and  $J_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ . Then the following equalities hold:

3.7i) 
$$T^{-1}AT = \text{diag}[A_p \ A_m \ \cdots \ A_m];$$

3. 7ii)  $T^{T}AT = \operatorname{diag}[A_q \ N(N-1)A_m \ \cdots \ 6A_m \ 2A_m]$ , where

$$A_{q} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{0} & NL_{0} \\ NM_{0} & N\lceil A_{1} + (N-1)H_{1} \end{bmatrix};$$

3. 7iii)  $T^{\mathsf{T}}JT = \text{diag}[J_0 \ NJ_1 \ N(N-1)J_1 \ \cdots \ 6J_1 \ 2J_1];$ 

3. 7iv)  $T^{-1}J(T^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}} = \operatorname{diag}[J_0 \quad 1/NJ_1 \quad 1/[N(N-1)] \quad J_1 \quad \cdots \quad 1/6J_1 \quad 1/2J_1].$ 

Proof of Theorem 3.3

Sufficiency. Suppose that the conditions 3.1i) and 3.1ii) hold. By Lemma 3.5, there exist positive definite symmetric matrices  $P_p$  and  $P_m$  such that

$$Y_{p}(A_{p}, P_{p}) = A_{p}^{T}P_{p} + P_{p}A_{p} + P_{p}D_{p}D_{p}^{T}P_{p} + E_{p}^{T}E_{p} < 0, \tag{3.6a}$$

$$Y_m(A_m, P_m) = A_m^{\mathsf{T}} P_m + P_m A_m + P_m D_m D_m^{\mathsf{T}} P_m + E_m^{\mathsf{T}} E_m < 0. \tag{3.6b}$$

Let  $P_{\scriptscriptstyle P} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\scriptscriptstyle 00} & P_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} \\ P_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} & P_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \end{bmatrix}$   $(P_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \in \mathbb{R}^{\scriptscriptstyle n \times n})$ . Consider the matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{\scriptscriptstyle (n_0 + N_n) \times (n_0 + N_n)}$  defined as follows:

$$P = [g_{ij}], \quad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N)$$
 (3.7)

where  $g_{00} = P_{00}$ ,  $g_{0i} = 1/NP_{01}$ ,  $g_{i0} = 1/NP_{10}$ ,  $g_{ii} = [P_{11} + N(N-1)P_{m}]/N^{2}$  and  $g_{ij} = (P_{11} - NP_{m})/N^{2}$ ,  $(i, j = 1, \dots, N; i \neq j)$ . Let

$$Y(A \cdot P) = A^{\mathsf{T}}P + PA + PDD^{\mathsf{T}}P + E^{\mathsf{T}}E. \tag{3.8}$$

By computing directly, we have

$$DD^{\mathrm{T}} = \operatorname{diag}[a_{1}a_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + Na_{3}a_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad D_{m}D_{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \cdots \quad D_{m}D_{m}^{\mathrm{T}}],$$
 (3. 9a)

$$E^{\mathrm{T}}E = \mathrm{diag}[a_2 a_2^{\mathrm{T}} + N a_6 a_6^{\mathrm{T}} \quad E_m^{\mathrm{T}} E_m \quad \cdots \quad E_m^{\mathrm{T}} E_m],$$
 (3.9b)

$$D_{p}D_{p}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathrm{diag}[a_{1}a_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + Na_{3}a_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad 1/ND_{m}D_{m}^{\mathrm{T}}],$$
 (3.9c)

$$E_b^{\mathsf{T}} E_b = \operatorname{diag} \left[ a_2 a_2^{\mathsf{T}} + N a_6 a_6^{\mathsf{T}} \quad N E_m^{\mathsf{T}} E_m \right]. \tag{3.9d}$$

From Lemma 3.7, equalities (3.7) and (3.9), it implies

$$T^{\mathsf{T}}Y(AP)T = \operatorname{diag}[Y_{p}(A_{p}, P_{p}) \quad N(N-1)Y_{m}(A_{m}, P_{m}) \quad \cdots \quad 2Y_{m}(A_{m}, P_{m})] < 0.$$

Since the matrix T is nonsingular, Y(A,P) < 0. By Lemma 3.5, the system  $\Sigma_u$  is quadratically stable.

Necessity. Suppose that the system  $\Sigma_u$  is quadratically stable. By lemma 3.6, there exists a constant  $\epsilon > 0$  and a uniquely positive definite symmetric matrix P such that  $Y(A, P) + \epsilon I = 0$  and  $A + DD^TP$  is asymptotically stable. Similar to the above argument, it is easy to show that the conditions 3.1i) and 3.1ii) hold. Thus, the proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed. Q. E. D.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is similar, and is omitted.

### References

<sup>[1]</sup> Araujo, C. S. and Castro, J. C. . Application of Power System Stabilizers in a Plant with Identical units. IEE Proc. Part C, 1991 138:11—18

<sup>[2]</sup> Castro, J. C.. Decentralized Control of Systems with Groups of Similar Subsystems and Symmetrical Interconnec-

- tions. IFAC/IFORS/IMACS Symposium Large-Scale System: Theory and Application, Beijing, 1992, 90-95
- [3] Vukobratovic, M., and Stokic, D. M., Control of Manipulator Robots; Theory and Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982
- [4] Sundareshan, K. M., and Elbanna, R. M., Qualitative Analysis and Decentralized Controller Synthesis for a Class of Large-Scale System with Symmetrically Interconnected Subsystems. Automatica, 1991, 27:383—388
- [5] Lunze, J. Dynamics of Strongly Coupled Symmetric Composite Systems. Int. J. of Control, 1986, 44:1617-1640
- [6] Lunze, J.. Dynamics of Large-Scale Systems Composed of Strongly Coupled Similar Subsystems. Automatica, 1989, 25:561-570
- [7] Barmish, B. R.. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Quadratic Stabilizability of an Uncertain Linear System. J. Optimiz. Theory Appl., 1985, 46, 399—408
- [8] Khargonekar, P. P., Petersen, I. P. and Zhou, K. . Robust Stabilization of Uncertain Linear Systems: Quadratic Stabilizability and H<sup>oo</sup> Control Theory, IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 1990, AC-35:356-361
- [9] Petersen, I. R. . A Stabilization Algorithm for A Class of Uncertain Linear Systems. Syst. Contr. Lett., 1987, 8; 351—357

## 一类具有对称内联子系统的不确定大系统的二次稳定性

杨光红 刘晓平 张嗣瀛 (东北大学自动控制系·沈阳,110006)

摘要:本文研究了一类由若干个相似子系统和一个外部系统通过对称地内联组成的不确定大系统的二次稳定性问题.用二个低阶子系统以及相应的 H.。范数的术语给出了这样一个系统是二次稳定的充分条件,并且证明了这个条件对于这个系统的过界系统的二次稳定性也是必要的.

关键词: 大系统; 稳定性分析; 模型不确定性

## 本文作者简介

杨光红 1963 年生. 分别于 1983 年和 1986 年在东北工学院数学系获学士和硕士学位,于 1994 年在东北大学自动控制系获博士学位,现任东北大学自动控制系副教授. 目前主要从事非线性控制、鲁棒控制和复杂控制系统的结构等方面的研究.

刘晓平 1962 年生. 分别于 1984 年,1987 年,1989 年在东北大学自动控制系获学士学位,硕士学位和博士学位,现任东北大学自动控制系教授. 博士生导师. 目前主要研究方向包括最优控制,微分对策,非线性广义系统,非线性控制系统几何理论及机器人控制等,已在国内外发表学术论文六十多篇.

张嗣瀛 1925 年生. 1948 年毕业于武汉大学机械系,1957 年至 1959 年赴前苏联莫斯科大学数学力学系进修. 现为东北大学自动控制系教授. 博士生导师,主要研究方向为对策理论及复杂控制系统结构分析.