

# Extension and Proof of the Continuous-Time Dynamic Replication Theorem<sup>\*</sup>

LIU Hailong and WU Chongfeng

(School of Management, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, 200030, P. R. China)

**Abstract:** This paper extends the continuous-time dynamic replication theorem for incomplete Markets, which is proposed by Bertsimas, Kogan and Lo (1997)<sup>[1]</sup>. Then this extended dynamic replication theorem is proved using the theory of the stochastic optimal control.

**Key words:** dynamic replication; incomplete markets; continuous-time; stochastic optimal control

**Document code:** A

## 连续时间动态复制定理的推广与证明

刘海龙 吴冲锋

(上海交通大学管理学院·上海, 200030)

**摘要:** 推广了由 Bertsimas, Kogan and Lo (1997)<sup>[1]</sup>提出的非完全市场中的连续时间动态复制定理, 然后, 我们运用随机最优控制理论证明了这个定理.

**关键词:** 动态复制; 非完全市场; 连续时间; 随机最优控制

## 1 Introduction

In financial risk management, pricing and hedging derivative security is an important problem (see [2, 3]). Perfect hedging is impossible in incomplete markets. To evaluate contingent claims in incomplete markets, researchers have proposed many new concepts. Schweizer (1992, 1995)<sup>[4,5]</sup> solved the dynamic replication problem with a mean-squared-error loss function under the probability measure of the original price process. Recently, Bertsimas, Kogan and Lo (1997)<sup>[1]</sup> proposed an interesting term  $\epsilon$ -arbitrage to replicate and price options in incomplete markets. Although Schweizer considered the general stochastic processes, Bertsimas, Kogan and Lo focused only on Markov price processes and used various principles to characterize the optimal replication strategy. The Markov assumption allows them to obtain thorough results. However, Bertsimas, Kogan and Lo's result is derived under the assumption that riskless interest rate is zero, and they did not prove the theorem. In this paper, we extend their result to the case that riskless

interest rate is not zero. Finally, this extended dynamic replication theorem is proved using the theory of the stochastic optimal control.

## 2 Problem

Consider an asset with price  $P_t$  at time  $t$ , where  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Let  $F(P_t, Z_t)$  denote the payoff of a European derivative security at maturity date  $T$ . It is a function of  $P_T$  and some other variables  $Z_T$ .

As suggested by Merton (1973)<sup>[6]</sup>, the derivation of the Black-Scholes formula is to find a dynamic hedging strategy-purchase and sale of the stock and the riskless asset on  $[0, T]$ . The strategy is supposed to be self-financing and to come as close as possible to the payoff  $F(P_T, Z_T)$  at  $T$ . To formulate the dynamic replication problem more precisely, we begin with the following assumptions:

H1) Markets are frictionless, i. e., there are no taxes, transaction costs, short sale restrictions and borrowing restrictions.

H2) The riskless borrowing and lending rate is  $r$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Foundation item: supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (70025303, 70173031).

Received date: 2000 - 08 - 21; Revised date: 2001 - 09 - 24.

H3) There exists a finite-dimensional vector  $Z_t$  of state variables whose components are not perfectly correlated with the prices of any traded securities, and  $[P_t, Z_t]'$  is a vector Markov process.

Consider a portfolio consisting of the stock and the riskless bond with initial value  $V_0$  at time 0. Let  $\theta_t, B_t$  and  $V_t$  denote respectively the number of the shares of the stock held in the portfolio, the dollar value of the bonds, and the market value of the portfolio at time  $t$ , where  $t \in [0, T]$ . We have

$$V_t = \theta_t P_t + B_t. \quad (1)$$

In addition, we impose a condition that after time 0, the portfolio is self-financing, i. e., all long positions in one asset are completely financed by short positions in the other asset so that the portfolio experiences no cash inflows or outflows. In continuous time, this implies that

$$dV_t = [rV_t + (\mu_0(t, P_t, Z_t) - r)\theta_t P_t]dt + \theta_t \sigma_0(t, P_t, Z_t) P_t dW_{0t}, \quad (2)$$

where  $r, \mu_0(t, P_t, Z_t), \sigma_0(t, P_t, Z_t)$ , are called the interest rate, the appreciation rate and the volatility, respectively;  $W_{0t}$  are Wiener processes.

We seek a self-financing hedging strategy  $\{\theta_t\}, t \in [0, T]$ , such that the terminal value  $V_T$  of the portfolio is as close as possible to the option's payoff  $F(P_T, Z_T)$ . While there are many criteria to measure the "closeness", and each given rise to a different dynamic replication problem, we choose a mean-squared-error loss function. Hence our version of the dynamic replication problem is (see [7, 8])

$$\min_{\theta_t} E^v [V_T - F(P_T, Z_T)]^2 \quad (3)$$

subject to the self-financing condition (2), the dynamics of  $[P_t, Z_t]'$  and the initial wealth  $V_0$ . The expectation  $E^v$  is taken with respect to a probability measure  $v$  that represents the randomness of the difference  $V_T - F(P_T, Z_T)$ , conditional on information at time 0.

### 3 Statement of the theorem

For the continuous-time case, let  $[P_t, Z_t]'$  follow a vector Markov diffusion process

$$dP_t = \mu_0(t, P_t, Z_t) P_t dt + \sigma_0(t, P_t, Z_t) P_t dW_{0t}, \quad (4)$$

$$dZ_{jt} = \mu_j(t, P_t, Z_t) Z_{jt} dt + \sigma_j(t, P_t, Z_t) Z_{jt} dW_{jt}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (5)$$

where  $w_{jt}, j = 0, 1, \dots, N$  are Wiener processes with mutual variation  $dW_{jt} dW_{kt} = \rho_{jk}(t, P_t, Z_t) dt$ .

The continuous-time Bellman principle is the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (see [9, 10]), and this yields the following results:

**Theorem** Under Assumptions H1) ~ H3) and (2), if the value function  $J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)$  is quadratic with respect to  $V_t$ , i. e., there are functions  $a(t, P_t, Z_t)$ ,  $b(t, P_t, Z_t)$  and  $c(t, P_t, Z_t)$  such that

$$J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t) = a(t, P_t, Z_t) [V_t - b(t, P_t, Z_t)]^2 + c(t, P_t, Z_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (6)$$

then the solution of the dynamic replication problem (3) is characterized by the following conditions:

i) For  $t \in [0, T]$  the functions  $a(t, P_t, Z_t), b(t, P_t, Z_t)$  and  $c(t, P_t, Z_t)$  satisfy the following system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} = \\ \left( \left( \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 - 2r \right) a + \frac{2(\mu_0 - r)}{\sigma_0} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} + \\ \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} = \\ rb + \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} + \\ \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j (\rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} - \rho_{ij}) \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial c}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} = \\ a \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j (\rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} - \rho_{ij}) \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} a(T, P_T, Z_T) = 1, & b(T, P_T, Z_T) = F(P_T, Z_T), \\ c(T, P_T, Z_T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where  $Z_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th component of  $Z_t$  and  $Z_0 \equiv P_t$ .

ii) The optimal control  $\theta^*(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)$  is linear in  $V_t$  and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^*(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t) = \\ \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\sigma_j Z_j}{\sigma_0 Z_0} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} - \frac{V_t - b}{a} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\sigma_j Z_j}{\sigma_0 Z_0} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} - \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0^2 Z_0} (V_t - b). \quad (11)$$

#### 4 Proof of the theorem

**Proof** First we define value function of the stochastic optimal control problems (2), (4), (5) and (3) as follows

$$J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t) = \min_{\theta(\cdot)} E^v [V_T - F(P_T, Z_T)]^2. \quad (12)$$

Applying the stochastic optimal control theory to value function  $J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)$ , we obtain the following partial differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)}{\partial t} + \min_{\theta(\cdot)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)}{\partial Z_j} + \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} + [rV_t + \\ & (\mu_0 - r)\theta(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)Z_0] \frac{\partial J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)}{\partial V_t} + \\ & \left. \frac{1}{2} (\theta(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)\sigma_0 Z_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2 J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)}{\partial V_t^2} + \right. \\ & \left. \theta(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t) \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_0 \sigma_j \rho_{0j} Z_0 Z_j \frac{\partial^2 J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t)}{\partial V_t \partial Z_j} \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with boundary condition

$$J(T, V_T, P_T, Z_T) = [V_T - F(P_T, Z_T)]^2. \quad (14)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} & J(t, V_t, P_t, Z_t) = \\ & a(t, P_t, Z_t)[V_t - b(t, P_t, Z_t)]^2 + c(t, P_t, Z_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using equations (7) and (10), we can check that function  $a(\cdot)$  is positive. Therefore the first-order condition is sufficient for the minimum in (13). We have

$$\theta^* = - \frac{(\mu_0 - r) \frac{\partial J}{\partial V_t}}{\sigma_0^2 Z_0 \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t^2}} - \frac{1}{\sigma_0 Z_0} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t^2} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t \partial Z_j}. \quad (16)$$

Substitute (15) into (16) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^* = & - \frac{(\mu_0 - r)}{\sigma_0^2 Z_0} (V_t - b) - \\ & \frac{1}{\sigma_0 Z_0 a} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} (V_t - b) - a \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Rearranging the terms we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^* = & \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\sigma_j Z_j}{\sigma_0 Z_0} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} - \frac{V_t - b}{a} \cdot \\ & \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\sigma_j Z_j}{\sigma_0 Z_0} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} - \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0^2 Z_0} (V_t - b), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which indicates that (11) holds.

Apply the optimal strategy (16) to (13), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial J}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial J}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} + \\ & rV_t \frac{\partial J}{\partial V_t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu_0 - r)^2 \left( \frac{\partial J}{\partial V_t} \right)^2}{\sigma_0^2 \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t^2}} - \\ & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial J}{\partial V_t}} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t \partial Z_i} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t \partial Z_j} - \\ & \frac{(\mu_0 - r) \frac{\partial J}{\partial V_t}}{\sigma_0 \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t^2}} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial V_t \partial Z_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Substituting (15) into (19) and rearranging the terms to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} + \right. \\ & 2ar - \left( \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 a - \frac{2(\mu_0 - r)}{\sigma_0} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} - \\ & \left. \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} \right] (V_t - b)^2 + \\ & 2a \left[ - \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} + rb - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} - \right. \\ & \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} + \\ & \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} - \\ & \left. \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} \right] (V_t - b) + \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \\ & \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial c}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} + \\ & a \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} - \\ & a \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since (20) holds for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2ar - \left( \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 a - \frac{2(\mu_0 - r)}{\sigma_0} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} - \\
& \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_j} = 0, \quad (21) \\
& - \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} - \\
& \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} + rb + \\
& \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} + \\
& \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma_0} \sum_{j=0}^N \sigma_j Z_j \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} = 0, \quad (22) \\
& \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=0}^N \mu_j Z_j \frac{\partial c}{\partial Z_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} + \\
& a \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} - \\
& a \sum_{i,j=0}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j Z_i Z_j \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial Z_j} = 0. \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

The results of (7), (8) and (9) can be obtained by rearranging terms in (21), (22) and (23).

By boundary condition (14) we can obtain (10) immediately.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we extend a method for replication derivative securities in dynamically incomplete market. Using the theory of the stochastic optimal control, we construct a self-financing dynamic portfolio strategy that best approximates an arbitrary payoff function in a mean-squared sense. When riskless interest rate is zero, our optimal hedging strategy coincides with the results of

Bertsimas, Kogan and Lo, which are special case of our research.

## References

- [1] Bertsimas D, Kogan L and Lo A W. Pricing and hedging derivative securities in Incomplete markets an  $\epsilon$ -arbitrage approach [R]. Cambridge, USA: MIT, Working Paper, 1997, 1-15
- [2] Amin K. Jump diffusion option valuation in discrete time [J]. Journal of Finance, 1993, 48(3): 1833-1863
- [3] Toft K. On the Mean-variance tradeoff in option replication with transactions costs [J]. J. of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1996, 31(1): 233-263
- [4] Schweizer M. Mean-variance hedging for general claims [J]. Annals of Applied Probability, 1992, 2(1): 171-179
- [5] Schweizer M. Variance-optimal hedging in discrete time [J]. Mathematics of Operations Research, 1995, 20(1): 1-31
- [6] Merton R C. An intertemporal capital asset pricing model [J]. Econometrica, 1971, 41(2): 867-887
- [7] Duffie D and Jackson M. Optimal hedging and equilibrium in a dynamic futures market [J]. J. of Economic Dynamic and Control, 1990, 14(1): 21-33
- [8] Duffie D and Richardson M. Mean-variance hedging in continuous time [J]. Annals of Applied Probability, 1991, 1(1): 1-15
- [9] Merton R C. Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous time model [J]. J. of Economic Theory, 1971, 3(2): 373-413
- [10] Ksandal B. Stochastic Differential Equations [M]. 4th ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998, 212-219

## 本文作者简介

刘海龙 1959年生, 1995年1月于东北大学管理科学与工程专业获硕士学位, 1999年9月于东北大学控制理论与控制工程专业获博士学位, 现为上海交通大学管理学院教授, 研究方向为金融工程, 随机最优控制理论与应用. Email: liuhl527@sina.com

吴冲锋 1962年生, 博士生导师, 教授, 中国系统工程学会常务理事, 上海交通大学管理学院副院长, 1989年1月于上海交通大学管理科学与工程专业获博士学位, 研究方向为金融工程.